Signed at Washington, DC, this 7th day of July 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,

Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Chairman, Committee of Alternates, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Attest:

Dennis Puccinelli,

Acting Executive Secretary.
[FR Doc. 95–17350 Filed 7–13–95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

International Trade Administration

Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part

AGENCY: Import Administration,
International Trade Administration,
Department of Commerce.
ACTION: Notice of Initiation of
Antidumping and Countervailing Duty
Administrative Reviews and Requests
for Revocation in Part.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) has received requests to conduct administrative reviews of various antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings with June anniversary dates. In accordance with the Department's regulations, we are initiating those administrative reviews. The Department also received requests to revoke two antidumping duty orders and one antidumping finding in part.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 14, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Holly A. Kuga, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC. 20230, telephone: (202) 482–4737.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department has received timely requests, in accordance with 19 CFR 353.22(a) and 355.22(a) (1994), for

administrative reviews of various antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings with June anniversary dates. The Department also received timely requests to revoke in part the antidumping duty orders on polyethylene terephthalate film from Japan and Korea and the antidumping finding on oil country tubular goods from Canada.

Initiation of Reviews

In accordance with 19 CFR 353.22(c) and 355.22(c), we are initiating administrative reviews of the following antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings. The Department is not initiating an administrative review of any exporters and/or producers who were not named in a review request because such exporters and/or producers were not specified as required under § 353.22(a) (19 CFR 353.22(a)). We intend to issue the final results of these reviews not later than June 30, 1996.

	Period to be reviewe
Antidumping Duty Proceedings:	
Canada:	
Oil Country Tubular Goods A-122-506	
IPSCO, Inc.	06/01/94–05/31/9
France:	
Calcium Aluminate Flux A–427–812	
LaFarge Fondu International	06/15/94–05/31/9
Large Power Transformers A–427–030	
Jeumont Schneider	06/01/94–05/31/9
Germany:	
High-Tenacity Rayon Filament Yarn A–428–810	
Akzo Nobel Faser AG	06/01/94–05/31/9
Sugar A–428–082	
Pfefer & Langen	06/01/94–05/31/9
Japan:	
Polyethylene Terephthalate Film (PET Film) A-588-814	
Toray Industries, Inc.	06/01/94–05/31/9
Korea:	
Polyethylene Terephthalate Film (PET Film) A–580–807	
Cheil Synthetics, Inc., Kolon Industries, Inc., SKC Limited, STC	06/01/94–05/31/9
Netherlands:	
Aramid Fiber Formed of Poly Para-Phenylene Terephthalamide ("PPD-T") A-421-805	
Aramid Products V.o.F.	12/16/93–05/31/9
New Zealand:	
Fresh Kiwifruit A–614–801	
New Zealand Kiwifruit Marketing Board ("NZKMB")	06/01/94–05/31/9
The People's Republic of China:	
Sparklers A–570–804	00/04/04 05/04/0
Guangxi Native Produce I/E Corporation; Behai Fireworks & Firecrackers Branch	06/01/94–05/31/9
Romania:	
Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof A–485–602	00/04/04 05/04/0
Tehnoimportexport, S.Ă	06/01/94–05/31/9
Certain Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings A–583–816 Ta Chen Stainless Pipe. Ltd.	06/01/94–05/31/9
Ta Chen Stainless Pipe, Ltd	
None.	
Notice.	

These initiations and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)) and 19 CFR 353.22(c)(1) and 355.22(c)(1).

Dated: July 10, 1995.

Roland L. MacDonald,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Compliance.

[FR Doc. 95–17352 Filed 7–13–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

[A-588-029]

Fishnetting of Man-Made Fibers From Japan; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: In response to a request from one respondent, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on fishnetting of man-made fibers from Japan. The review covers one manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise to the United States for the period June 1, 1993, through May 31, 1994.

We have preliminarily determined that sales have been made below the foreign market value (FMV). If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results of administrative review, we will instruct U.S. Customs to assess antidumping duties equal to the difference between the United States price (USP) and the FMV.

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who submit argument in this proceeding are requested to submit with the argument (1) a statement of the issue and (2) a brief summary of the argument.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 14, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kim Moore or Thomas Futtner, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: (202) 482–0090/3814.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 7, 1994, the Department published a notice of "Opportunity to

Request an Administrative Review" of the antidumping finding on fishnetting from Japan (37 FR 11560, June 9, 1972) for the period June 1, 1993, through May 31, 1994 (59 FR 29411). We received a timely request for an administrative review on June 29, 1994, from Yamaji Fishing Net Company Ltd. (Yamaji). The Department initiated the review, covering the period June 1, 1993, through May 31, 1994, on July 15, 1994 (59 FR 36160). The Department is now conducting this review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by the review are shipments of fishnetting of man-made fibers, not including salmon gill netting, from Japan. This merchandise is currently classified under item numbers 5608.11.00, 5608.19.10, and 5608.90.10 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS). The HTS subheading is provided for convenience and for U.S. Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive as to the scope of the product coverage. The period of review is June 1, 1993, through May 31, 1994.

United States Price

In accordance with section 772(b) of the Act, the Department based USP on purchase price, because the merchandise was sold to unrelated U.S. purchasers prior to importation. Purchase price was based on c.i.f. U.S. port and packed prices to unrelated purchasers in the United States. The contract date was the date that the terms of sale, quantity, and price were final; thus, the Department accepted the respondent's contract date as the date of sale. We made adjustments, where applicable, for Japanese and U.S. ocean freight, marine insurance, shipping charges, and inland freight. No other adjustments were claimed or allowed.

We reviewed information Yamaji submitted regarding product matches and determined product comparisons based on this information. We first compared products sold in the United States to identical products sold in the home market. For several of the products sold in the United States, we did not find a contemporaneous sale of the identical product in the home market. To determine similar merchandise in the home market, we grouped products according to their specifications. We then compared U.S. sales to these groups, again using these specifications as our matching criterion.

Foreign Market Value

In accordance with section 773(a) of the Act, the Department calculated FMV for Yamaji based on f.o.b. and delivered prices to unrelated purchasers in the home market. We used the invoice date as the date of sale for these transactions. Because information from Yamaji indicated that there were no cost differences between the U.S. merchandise and similar home market merchandise, we did not make an adjustment to FMV for physical differences. We adjusted FMV for the differences in packing costs between the home market and the U.S. market. We deducted home market packing costs from the home market price and added U.S. packing costs to the FMV. No other adjustments were claimed or allowed.

Preliminary Results of Review

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine that the following margin exists for the period June 1, 1993, through May 31, 1994:

Manufacturer/Exporter	Percent margin
Yamaji	2.58

The following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of fishnetting of man-made fibers entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a) (1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Yamaji will be the rate established in the final results of this review; (2) For previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) If the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or in the original less-than-fair-value investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) If neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review conducted by the Department, the cash deposit rate will be zero percent, the all others rate established in the final results of the first administrative review (49 FR 19339, April 30, 1984).

These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Interested parties may request disclosure within 5 days of the date of publication of this notice, and may